

| | | | |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/701,782 | HUEMOELLER ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Yuriy Semenenko | 2841 | |

All Participants:
Status of Application: _____

 (1) Yuriy Semenenko

(3) _____

 (2) Serge J. Hodgson (Reg. No. 40017)

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 15 March 2007
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

A telephone call was made to Mr. Serge J. Hodgson, (Reg. No. 40017) on March 15, 2006. Mr. S. Hodgson confirmed that Applicant did not timely file a proper reply to the Office Action mailed on 06/20/2006.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)